



Two *MC1R* loss-of-function alleles in cream-coloured Australian Cattle Dogs and white Huskies

N. Dürig^{*†}, A. Letko^{*†}, V. Lepori^{*†}, S. Hadji Rasouliha^{*†}, R. Loechel[‡], A. Kehl[§], M. K. Hytönen^{¶***††}, H. Lohi^{¶***††}, N. Mauri^{*†} , J. Dietrich^{*†}, M. Wiedmer^{*†}, M. Drögemüller^{*†}, V. Jagannathan^{*†}, S. M. Schmutz^{††} and T. Leeb^{*†} 

^{*}Institute of Genetics, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Bremgartenstrasse 109a, 3001 Bern, Switzerland. [†]DermFocus, University of Bern, 3001 Bern, Switzerland. [‡]VetGen, Ann Arbor, MI 48108, USA. [§]Laboklin, 97688 Bad Kissingen, Germany. [¶]Department of Veterinary Biosciences, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland. ^{**}Research Programs Unit, Molecular Neurology, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland. ^{††}Folkhälsan Institute of Genetics, University of Helsinki, 00290 Helsinki, Finland. ^{‡‡}Department of Animal and Poultry Science, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon SK S7N 5A8, Canada.

Summary

Loss-of-function variants in the *MC1R* gene cause recessive red or yellow coat-colour phenotypes in many species. The canine *MC1R*:c.916C>T (p.Arg306Ter) variant is widespread and found in a homozygous state in many uniformly yellow- or red-coloured dogs. We investigated cream-coloured Australian Cattle Dogs whose coat colour could not be explained by this variant. A genome-wide association study with 10 cream and 123 red Australian Cattle Dogs confirmed that the cream locus indeed maps to *MC1R*. Whole-genome sequencing of cream dogs revealed a single nucleotide variant within the MITF binding site of the canine *MC1R* promoter. We propose to designate the mutant alleles at *MC1R*:c.916C>T as e^1 and at the new promoter variant as e^2 . Both alleles segregate in the Australian Cattle Dog breed. When we considered both alleles in combination, we observed perfect association between the *MC1R* genotypes and the cream coat colour phenotype in a cohort of 10 cases and 324 control dogs. Analysis of the *MC1R* transcript levels in an e^1/e^2 compound heterozygous dog confirmed that the transcript levels of the e^2 allele were markedly reduced with respect to the e^1 allele. We further report another *MC1R* loss-of-function allele in Alaskan and Siberian Huskies caused by a 2-bp deletion in the coding sequence, *MC1R*:c.816_817delCT. We propose to term this allele e^3 . Huskies that carry two copies of *MC1R* loss-of-function alleles have a white coat colour.

Keywords *Canis lupus familiaris*, $e2$, $e3$, heterogeneity, melanocyte, non-coding, pigmentation, transcription

Introduction

Melanocytes, the only pigment-producing cell type in mammals, are able to synthesize two types of pigment: yellow-reddish pheomelanin and dark brown or black eumelanin. Pigment type switching governs which of the two types of pigment is produced at a given point in time (Barsh *et al.* 2000). Melanocortin receptor 1 (*MC1R*), a G-protein coupled receptor with seven transmembrane domains, is one of the key regulators of pigment type

switching (Mountjoy *et al.* 1992). *MC1R* activation prompts the melanocyte to produce eumelanin, whereas *MC1R* inhibition leads to the production of pheomelanin (Barsh *et al.* 2000). A huge number of functional genetic variants at the *MC1R* gene, known in many different species, lead either to dominant black coat colour phenotypes (gain-of-function alleles) or recessive red or yellow phenotypes (loss-of-function alleles; Robbins *et al.* 1993). As coat colour variation had already been studied long before the rise of molecular genetics, *MC1R* alleles are still named in reference to the *extension* locus (*E*) from classical genetics (Wright 1917; Robbins *et al.* 1993).

In dogs, three variant *MC1R* alleles in addition to the wildtype E^+ allele have been characterized on the molecular level: $E^M > E^G > E^+ > e$. The most dominant allele, E^M , caused by the amino acid exchange p.Val264Met, is found in dogs with a black mask such as Leonbergers or Malinois

Address for correspondence

T. Leeb, Institute of Genetics, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Bremgartenstrasse 109a, 3001 Bern, Switzerland.
E-mail: tosson.leeb@vetsuisse.unibe.ch

Accepted for publication 19 February 2018



Figure 1 Coat colour phenotypes of Australian Cattle Dogs. (a) Blue and (b) red are officially recognized coat colours in this breed. (c) A cream-coloured Australian Cattle Dog; this colour does not conform to the breed standard.

(Schmutz *et al.* 2003). The E^G allele, caused by p.Gly78Val, is found in ‘grizzle’ Salukis or ‘domino’ Afghan Hounds (Dreger & Schmutz 2010). Finally, the recessive loss-of-function allele e , caused by the p.Arg306Ter variant, is found in yellow- or red-coloured dogs such as yellow Labrador Retrievers, red Irish Setters, and many others (Everts *et al.* 2000; Newton *et al.* 2000).

The standard coat colours of the Australian Cattle Dog are red or black and tan (‘blue’) with varying degrees of mottling and/or speckling (Fig. 1). This variation in base coat colour is most likely controlled by the dominant a^H and recessive a^l alleles at the *agouti* (*A*) locus or *ASIP* gene (Berryere *et al.* 2005). Occasionally cream-coloured dogs are born from red or blue parents. The cream colour does not correspond to the breed standard, and cream-coloured dogs cannot be registered. The first goal of this study was to investigate the molecular basis of the cream coat colour phenotype in Australian Cattle Dogs. The second goal of this study was to investigate the genotype–phenotype correlation of a 2-bp deletion in *MC1R*, which was previously presented during a conference (Schmutz & Loechel 2015).

Material and methods

Ethics statement

All animal experiments were performed according to the local regulations. The dogs in this study were examined with the consent of their owners. The study was approved by the Cantonal Committee for Animal Experiments (Canton of Bern; permits 75/16 and 38/17).

Animals and DNA isolation

Samples from 10 cream-coloured and 324 non-cream-coloured Australian Cattle dogs were used for the study. The phenotype of the cream-coloured dogs was evaluated based on photographs. The coat colour phenotype of the

control dogs was based either on the pedigree record and/or as reported by the owner. Genomic DNA was extracted from EDTA blood samples using the Maxwell RSC Whole Blood DNA kit, used with the Maxwell RSC Instrument (Promega). Samples from 50 Huskies were used. These were either Siberian Huskies, an AKC and FCI recognized breed, or Alaskan Huskies, which represent working dogs without official pedigree registrations that are bred for sled racing. Fifteen Huskies were white and 35 had a significant amount of black, grey, brown or red hair and were classified as non-white. We additionally used DNA samples from 628 dogs of diverse breeds that had been submitted to the Vetsuisse Biobank.

SNP genotyping, GWAS and haplotype analyses

Genotyping of 10 cream and 123 non-cream Australian Cattle Dogs was done on Illumina CanineHD BeadChips containing either 173 662 or 220 853 SNVs (single nucleotide variants) by GeneSeek/Neogen. The SNV genotype data are publicly available at <https://www.animalgenome.org/repository/pub/BERN2017.1010/>. PLINK v1.09 (Chang *et al.* 2015) was used to perform basic quality filtering operations on the dataset. First, all genotypes from markers with call rates less than 100% were removed. Then, the pruned genotypes were used to perform an allelic genome-wide association study (GWAS). The analysis comprising multidimensional scaling plot, QT score, principal components analysis and a mixed model approach was performed using the GENABEL library (Aulchenko *et al.* 2007) and the HGLM package (Ronnegard *et al.* 2010) in the R environment. During the quality control step, uninformative SNPs with a minor allele frequency below 1% and SNPs deviating strongly from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P -value = 0.000001) were excluded. All individuals had call rates greater than 95%. The final dataset for the GWAS consisted of 133 dogs and 67 918 markers. Haplotypes were phased with SHAPEIT (Delaneau *et al.* 2011).

Sanger sequencing

All primer sequences are listed in Table S1. The entire coding sequence of the canine *MC1R* gene was amplified as two overlapping genomic PCR products. A third amplicon was generated for the promoter region. PCR products were directly sequenced on an ABI 3730 capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems) after treatment with exonuclease I and shrimp alkaline phosphatase. Sequence data were analysed with SEQUENCHER 5.1 (GeneCodes).

Whole-genome sequencing

Illumina TruSeq PCR-free libraries with insert sizes of 350 bp were prepared from two cream and one blue Australian Cattle Dog. We also prepared libraries from one Alaskan and one Siberian Husky. The libraries were sequenced using 2×150 -bp reads on an Illumina HiSeq 3000 instrument. Single nucleotide and small indel variants with respect to the CanFam3.1 canine reference genome assembly were called as described (Bauer *et al.* 2017). The variants were compared to previously obtained genome sequences. In total, three wolf and 188 dog genomes were analysed (Table S2). The functional effect of variants was predicted using SnpEff (Cingolani *et al.* 2012) and NCBI annotation release 104.

RNA isolation and RT-PCR

Total RNA from a skin biopsy of an e^1/e^2 Australian Cattle Dog dog with cream coat colour was isolated using QIAzol and RNeasy spin columns, according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Qiagen). RNA samples were treated with RNase-free DNase to remove contaminations with genomic DNA. Reverse transcription (RT) was carried out using an oligo-dT primer and Superscript[®] IV reverse transcriptase, according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Invitrogen). PCR was performed with $2 \mu\text{l}$ of the synthesized cDNA and the primer pair for the 3'-end of the *MC1R* gene (Table S1). As *MC1R* consists of a single exon, the same primers can be used for amplification on genomic DNA and cDNA. A control reaction on the isolated RNA without reverse transcriptase was run in parallel to ensure that the RNA did not contain any genomic DNA contamination. The cDNA amplicon was sequenced with the internal primer CACTATCCTGCTGGGCATTT.

Reference sequences

All analyses were performed with respect to the CanFam 3.1 genome reference assembly. Numbering within the canine *MC1R* gene refers to the mRNA accession no. NM_001014282.2 and the protein accession no. NP_001014304.2.

Results

Candidate gene analysis of the *MC1R* coding sequence in Australian Cattle Dogs

All 10 available cream-coloured Australian Cattle Dogs had two non-cream parents, suggesting autosomal recessive inheritance of the cream coat colour. We therefore hypothesized that the cream colour in Australian Cattle Dogs is caused by a loss-of-function variant in the *MC1R* gene. We genotyped the 10 cases for the known *MC1R*:c.916C>T (p.Arg306Ter) variant and observed all three genotypes at this variant in the cream-coloured dogs. One dog was homozygous for the variant allele, four were heterozygous and five were homozygous wildtype. As these data suggested allelic heterogeneity, we sequenced the entire coding sequence of *MC1R* in all the cases. However, this analysis did not reveal additional loss-of-function variants within the *MC1R* coding sequence.

Genome-wide association study

As the initial candidate gene analysis did not reveal the hypothesized additional *MC1R* allele, we switched to a hypothesis-free approach and performed a genome-wide allelic association study with 10 cream (cases) and 123 red (control) Australian Cattle Dogs. The GWAS revealed a single significant association signal close to the *MC1R* gene (Fig. 2). The best associated marker, *BICF2S23624769* at Chr5:63661161, had a P -value of 5.3×10^{-9} after correction for population stratification (Pc1df). As the GWAS confirmed association with the *MC1R* locus, we expanded our search for the candidate causative variant to the presumed non-coding regulatory regions of *MC1R*. Phasing of the *MC1R* haplotypes of the genotyped dogs revealed only two different haplotypes in the cream dogs. One of these




Figure 2 Genome-wide association study results. An allelic association study using 10 cream-coloured (cases) and 123 red-coloured (controls) Australian Cattle Dogs showed a single genome-wide significant signal on chromosome 5 with the best associated marker located close to the *MC1R* gene. Inset: The QQ plot confirms that the actually observed P -values (shown in black) of the best associated markers are more strongly associated with the trait than expected by chance (null hypothesis, red line).

haplotypes contained the T-allele at *MC1R*:c.916C>T, which from now on we designate as e^1 . The presumed second loss-of-function allele we designate as e^2 .

Identification of a promoter variant by whole-genome sequencing

We obtained whole-genome sequences from a cream dog, homozygous for the unknown allele (e^2/e^2), another cream dog, which was compound heterozygous (e^1/e^2) and a blue control dog (E^+/E^+). The sequences were compared to 188 additional publicly available genome sequences from wolves and genetically diverse dogs.

The sequencing data contained 43 variants in the *MC1R* coding sequence and 1 kb each of upstream and downstream regions (Table S3). Only one of the 43 variants showed the genotype distribution expected for the e^2 causative variant, Chr5:63695679C>G. This variant is located 430 nucleotides upstream of the start codon within an E-box motif that in humans and mice has been shown to act as binding site for MITF, a key regulatory transcription factor of melanocytes (Fig. 3 & Fig. S1; Steingrímsson *et al.* 2004). MITF binding sites contain an E-box motif with the




Figure 3 Details of the *MC1R* promoter variant. (a) Sequence context of the Chr5:63695679C>G variant located 430 nucleotides upstream of the ATG start codon of the *MC1R* gene. Please note that the dog sequence is given in the orientation of the *MC1R* gene (reverse complementary to the genome reference assembly). There is moderate sequence conservation between dog, human and mouse. The sequences corresponding to the experimentally confirmed human and murine MITF binding sites are highlighted in orange (Adachi *et al.* 2000; Aoki & Moro 2002). The G at the variable position is conserved in functional mammalian MITF binding sites (Aksan & Goding 1998). More details are given in Fig. S1. (b) Sanger sequencing electropherograms from dogs with the three different genotypes at this variant are shown.

Table 1 Association of the *MC1R* genotypes with cream coat colour in a cohort of 334 Australian Cattle Dogs. The mutant alleles at *MC1R*: c.916C>T and Chr5:63695679C>G are designated e^1 and e^2 respectively.

| Coat colour | <i>MC1R</i> genotype | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | <i>wt/wt</i> | <i>wt/e¹</i> | <i>wt/e²</i> | e^1/e^1 | e^1/e^2 | e^2/e^2 |
| Cream (cases, $n = 10$) | — | — | — | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Non-cream 0 (controls, $n = 324$) | 264 | 20 | 40 | — | — | — |

consensus sequence CAYGTG. The most frequently observed binding motif in mammals is CATGTG, in which the CATGTG motif must be flanked by a T at the 5'-end or an A at the 3'-end. Alternatively, the palindromic binding site CACGTG functions equally well and has no requirements for additional flanking nucleotides (Aksan & Goding 1998). The E-box in the canine *MC1R* promoter sequence has the sequence CACGTG. In the e^2 allele the conserved guanine at the fourth position is replaced by a cytosine (CACCTG).

We genotyped the e^1 and e^2 variants in 334 Australian Cattle Dogs and observed perfect association of the genotypes with the phenotypes. The carrier frequencies for e^1 and e^2 in the Australian Cattle Dogs with standard coat colours were 6% and 12% respectively (Table 1). The e^2 allele did not occur in 649 dogs from 60 genetically diverse breeds (Table S4).

Demonstration of downregulated *MC1R* transcription from the e^2 allele

For experimental confirmation of the postulated regulatory effect, we obtained skin RNA from an e^1/e^2 compound heterozygous dog. We amplified genomic DNA fragments containing the e^1 and e^2 variants. Sanger sequencing of these genomic PCR products resulted in the expected 1:1 ratio of peaks at the heterozygous position +916 in the electropherogram. However, when we performed RT-PCR on the RNA sample and sequenced the resulting cDNA product, the e^1 allele was markedly more intense than was the e^2 allele. This observed allelic imbalance suggested a massive downregulation of *MC1R* transcription from the allele with the promoter variant in the MITF binding site (Fig. 4).

Identification of an e^3 allele in Huskies

The analysis of the genome sequences from an Alaskan and a Siberian Husky revealed an additional frame-shift variant within the *MC1R* coding sequence, *MC1R*:c.816_817delCT (Fig. S1, Table S3). This deletion is predicted to lead to the expression of an altered *MC1R*, in which the last transmembrane domain and the cytoplasmic C-terminal tail are




Figure 4 Functional confirmation of the regulatory effect. (a) A cream-coloured dog with the compound heterozygous e^1/e^2 genotype was selected for the experiment. Both parents of this dog were blue (*ASIP* genotype a^1/a^1 in all animals of the trio). The genotypes at both *MC1R* variants are indicated in the pedigree. Variant alleles are shown in red. (b) A Sanger sequencing electropherogram of the *MC1R*:c.916C>T variant on genomic DNA of the cream-coloured dog shows the expected 1:1 ratio between the two alleles (area under the peaks). Sanger sequencing of an RT-PCR product derived from skin mRNA with the same primer shows a 1:5 ratio of the peak areas originating from the two different alleles. This indicates a marked downregulation of the transcript from the chromosome with the e^2 allele.

missing, p.(Ile272MetfsTer22). We propose to designate this variant e^3 . We obtained the e^1 and e^3 genotypes in a cohort of 50 Huskies and observed perfect association of white coat colour with the presence of two *MC1R* loss-of-function alleles (Table 2). The ‘white’ coat colour in Huskies can be more precisely described as an extremely pale pheomelanistic (yellowish) colour (Fig. 5a). Our cohort included a complete family with seven offspring that confirmed the expected co-segregation of e^1 and e^3 with the white coat colour (Fig. 5b). We did not detect the e^3 allele in any of the other breeds that we investigated (Table S4).

Discussion

In this study we identified a single nucleotide variant in the *MC1R* promoter as the likely cause of a new loss-of-function

Table 2 Association of the *MC1R* genotypes with white coat colour in a cohort of 50 Huskies. The mutant alleles at *MC1R*:c.916C>T and *MC1R*:c.816_817delCT are designated e^1 and e^3 respectively.

| Coat colour | <i>MC1R</i> genotype | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | <i>wt/wt</i> | <i>wt/e¹</i> | <i>wt/e³</i> | <i>e¹/e¹</i> | <i>e¹/e³</i> | <i>e³/e³</i> |
| White (cases, <i>n</i> = 15) | — | — | — | 4 | 9 | 2 |
| Non-white ¹ (controls, <i>n</i> = 35) | 24 | 1 | 10 | — | — | — |

¹Dogs with a significant proportion of pigmented hair (black, grey, brown, red) were classified as ‘non-white’.




Figure 5 (a) Coat-colour phenotype of a Siberian Husky homozygous for the *MC1R*:c.816_817delCT variant. The dog was registered as white, but had a very pale yellow hue. (b) Co-segregation of *MC1R* loss-of-function alleles with white coat colour in Huskies. White dogs in this pedigree are indicated with filled symbols; non-white dogs are represented as open symbols. The white dog shown in (a) was mated to a heterozygous non-white female carrying one copy of the e^1 allele. The coat colours in the resulting seven puppies showed the expected genotype–phenotype correlation.

allele, termed e^2 , which together with the previously reported e^1 allele explains the cream coat colour in Australian Cattle Dogs. We provided functional data that demonstrate a substantial reduction of *MC1R* mRNA levels associated with the e^2 allele. This effect is most likely due to the loss of the binding site for MITF, which has been shown to be a strong activator of *MC1R* transcription (Aksan & Goding 1998; Adachi *et al.* 2000; Aoki & Moro 2002; Steingrímsson *et al.* 2004).

Although our data indicated some residual transcription of *MC1R* from the e^2 allele, this is apparently not sufficient to activate eumelanin synthesis in e^2/e^2 dogs. The coat colour of the e^1/e^1 dog in our study was not visibly different from the coat colours of the e^1/e^2 or e^2/e^2 dogs. Therefore, we consider e^1 and e^2 functionally equivalent loss-of-function alleles.

Our data should help diagnostic laboratories to provide more accurate genetic testing for breeders of Australian Cattle Dogs. We did not observe the e^2 allele outside of Australian Cattle Dogs. However, additional purebred and mixed-breed dogs should be evaluated to determine if this is truly a breed-specific variant.

Using two independent approaches, we discovered the presence of another loss-of-function allele in Huskies, e^3 , caused by a 2-bp deletion in the coding sequence of *MC1R*. Initially, the variant was identified by a candidate gene approach and targeted Sanger sequencing (Schmutz & Loechel 2015). Later, we found the same variant in whole-genome sequence data. In Huskies, both e^1 and e^3 segregate and appear to be functionally equivalent. Loss of *MC1R* function in Huskies leads to an almost completely white coat colour. The fact that black and white Huskies are not black and tan suggests that the pheomelanin pigmentation in Huskies is extremely pale, which is consistent with the nearly white coat colour in *MC1R*-deficient Huskies.

In conclusion, we identified a non-coding regulatory *MC1R* promoter variant and an *MC1R* coding deletion as likely causes for the e^2 and e^3 loss-of-function alleles. Our findings will help to improve genetic testing for coat colours in dogs.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Eva Holderegger Walser, Sabine Helmes and all other dog owners and breeders for donating samples and pictures and for sharing information about their dogs. The authors also wish to thank Nathalie Besuchet, Muriel Fragnière and Sabrina Schenk for expert technical assistance. The Next Generation Sequencing Platform and the Interfaculty Bioinformatics Unit of the University of Bern are acknowledged for performing the whole-genome re-sequencing experiments and providing high performance computing infrastructure. We acknowledge collaborators of the Dog Biomedical Variant Database Consortium (DBVDC), Gus Aguirre, Catherine André, Danika Bannasch, Doreen Becker, Brian Davis, Cord Drögemüller, Kari Ekenstedt, Kiterie Faller, Oliver Forman, Steve Friedenber, Eva Furrow, Urs Giger, Christophe Hitte, Cathryn Mellersh, Jim Mickelson, Leonardo Murgiano, Anita Oberbauer, Jeffrey Schoenebeck, Kim Summers, Frank van Steenbeek and Claire Wade for sharing whole-genome sequence data from control dogs and wolves. This study was supported by grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation (31003A_172964) and the Albert-Heim Foundation (no. 105).

Conflicts of interest

Rob Loechel, Alexandra Kehl and Hannes Lohi are affiliated with commercial laboratories marketing genetic tests for dogs.

References

Adachi S., Morii E., Kim D.-K., Ogihara H., Jippo T., Ito A., Lee Y.M. & Kitamura Y. (2000) Involvement of mi-transcription factor in expression of alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone receptor in cultured mast cells of mice. *Journal of Immunology* **164**, 855–60.

Aksan I. & Goding C.R. (1998) Targeting the microphthalmia basic helix-loop-helix-leucine zipper transcription factor to a subset of E-Box elements *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **18**, 6930–8.

Aoki H. & Moro O. (2002) Involvement of microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (MITF) in expression of human melanocortin-1 receptor (*MC1R*). *Life Sciences* **71**, 2171–9.

Aulchenko Y.S., Ripke S., Isaacs A. & van Duijn C.M. (2007) GENABEL: an R library for genome-wide association analysis. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 1294–6.

Barsh G., Gunn T., He L., Schlossman S. & Duke-Cohan J. (2000) Biochemical and genetic studies of pigment-type switching. *Pigment Cell Research* **13**(Suppl 8), 48–53.

Bauer A., Waluk D.P., Galichet A. *et al.* (2017) A *de novo* variant in the *ASPRV1* gene in a dog with ichthyosis. *PLoS Genetics* **13**, e1006651.

Berryere T.G., Kerns J.A., Barsh G.S. & Schmutz S.M. (2005) Association of an *Agouti* allele with fawn or sable coat color in domestic dogs. *Mammalian Genome* **16**, 262–72.

Chang C.C., Chow C.C., Tellier L.C., Vattikuti S., Purcell S.M. & Lee J.J. (2015) Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *GigaScience* **4**, 7.

Cingolani P., Platts A., Wang le L., Coon M., Nguyen T., Wang L., Land S.J., Lu X. & Ruden D.M. (2012) A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms. *SNPEFF: SNPs in the genome of *Drosophila melanogaster* strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin)* **6**, 80–92.

Delaneau O., Marchini J. & Zagury J.F. (2011) A linear complexity phasing method for thousands of genomes. *Nature Methods* **9**, 179–81.

Dreger D.L. & Schmutz S.M. (2010) A new mutation in *MC1R* explains a coat color phenotype in 2 'old' breeds: Saluki and Afghan hound. *Journal of Heredity* **101**, 644–9.

Everts R.E., Rothuizen J. & van Oost B.A. (2000) Identification of a premature stop codon in the melanocyte-stimulating hormone receptor gene (*MC1R*) in Labrador and Golden retrievers with yellow coat colour. *Animal Genetics* **31**, 194–9.

Mountjoy K.G., Robbins L.S., Mortrud M.T. & Cone R.D. (1992) The cloning of a family of genes that encode the melanocortin receptors. *Science* **257**, 1248–51.

Newton J.M., Wilkie A.L., He L., Jordan S.A., Metallinos D.L., Holmes N.G., Jackson I.J. & Barsh G.S. (2000) *Melanocortin 1 receptor* variation in the domestic dog. *Mammalian Genome* **11**, 24–30.

Robbins L.S., Nadeau J.H., Johnson K.R., Kelly M.A., Roselli-Rehffuss L., Baack E., Mountjoy K.G. & Cone R.D. (1993) Pigmentation phenotypes of variant extension locus alleles result from point mutations that alter MSH receptor function. *Cell* **72**, 827–34.

Ronnegard L., Shen X. & Alam M. (2010) HGLM: a package for fitting hierarchical generalized linear models. *The R Journal* **2**, 20–8.

Schmutz S.M. & Loechel R. (2015) Novel *MC1R* mutation is associated with white coat color in northern sled dogs. PanAmerican Society for Pigment Cell Research Conference, Orange, CA. September 29, 2015.

Schmutz S.M., Berryere T.G., Ellinwood N.M., Kerns J.A. & Barsh G.S. (2003) *MC1R* studies in dogs with melanistic mask or brindle patterns. *Journal of Heredity* **94**, 69–73.

Steingrímsson E., Copeland N.G. & Jenkins N.A. (2004) Melanocytes and the microphthalmia transcription factor network. *Annual Review of Genetics* **38**, 365–411.

Wright S. (1917) Color inheritance in mammals. *Journal of Heredity* **8**, 224–35.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article:

Figure S1 Annotated sequence of the canine *MC1R* gene.

Table S1 Primer sequences for PCR amplification and Sanger sequencing.

Table S2 Accession numbers of 191 dog/wolf genome sequences.

Table S3 *MC1R* variants detected in 191 genome sequences.

Table S4 Genotypes of 334 Australian Cattle Dogs, 50 Huskies and 628 dogs from different breeds at three loss-of-function variants within the *MC1R* gene.